


The book was found

One Case At A Time: Judicial Minimalism On The Supreme Court



Synopsis

Abortion, affirmative action, the "right to die," pornography and free speech, homosexuality and sex discrimination: as eagerly as the Supreme Court's rulings on these hot issues are awaited and as intently as they're studied, they never seem to settle anything once and for all. But something is settled in the process--in the incremental approach--as Cass Sunstein shows us in this instructive book. One of America's preeminent constitutional scholars, Sunstein mounts a defense of the most striking characteristic of modern constitutional law: the inclination to decide one case at a time. Examining various controversies, he shows how--and why--the Court has avoided broad rulings on issues from the legitimacy of affirmative action to the "right to die," and in doing so has fostered rather than foreclosed public debate on these difficult topics. He offers an original perspective on the right of free speech and the many novel questions raised by Congress's efforts to regulate violent and sexual materials on new media such as the Internet and cable television. And on the relationship between the Constitution and homosexuality and sex discrimination, he reveals how the Court has tried to ensure against second-class citizenship--and the public expression of contempt for anyone--while leaving a degree of flexibility to the political process. *One Case at a Time* also lays out, and celebrates, the remarkable constellation of rights--involving both liberty and equality--that now commands a consensus in American law. An authoritative guide to the Supreme Court, the book offers a new understanding of the American Constitution, and of the relationship between democracy and constitutionalism, and between rights and self-government.

Book Information

Paperback: 304 pages

Publisher: Harvard University Press (April 16, 2001)

Language: English

ISBN-10: 0674005791

ISBN-13: 978-0674005792

Product Dimensions: 6.1 x 0.7 x 9.2 inches

Shipping Weight: 14.4 ounces (View shipping rates and policies)

Average Customer Review: 3.8 out of 5 stars Â Â See all reviews Â (4 customer reviews)

Best Sellers Rank: #542,250 in Books (See Top 100 in Books) #94 in Â Books > Law >

Administrative Law > Federal Jurisdiction #287 in Â Books > Law > Rules & Procedures > Courts

#777 in Â Books > Law > Constitutional Law > General

Customer Reviews

Liberalism eats its intellectuals, cannibalizing their principles for the sake of political expediency. Professor Sunstein is a case in point. Last year, to defend President Clinton against impeachment, he argued that a President can't be impeached for any crime not related to his office. When pressed, he allowed that it would be a borderline case if the President murdered someone, but no lesser crime would merit impeachment. Professor Sunstein is not a stupid man, but this is a stupid argument. Since, like most legal experts, Mr. Sunstein also believes that a President cannot be prosecuted, Mr. Sunstein is arguing that if a President habitually raped women or blew up abortion clinics and bragged about his exploits in his State of the Union Address, the country would be powerless to take any action against him. I doubt that a man of Mr. Sunstein's intelligence believed that even as he spoke it, but nevertheless, he lent his prestige to a shabby argument for the political demands of the moment. There is something of the same thing going on in this book. This time, there is nothing objectionable in his thesis. Courts should not strip issues away from the democratic process. Indeed, if it weren't for *Roe v. Wade* (which Sunstein properly condemns), state legislatures would have legalized abortion anyway, but the pro-life forces would not be picketing clinics, let alone blowing them up. Vox populi, vox dei has a powerful ability to make people to accept what they oppose. Courts lack that power. Where Sunstein bows to political pressure is in his choice of examples of judicial overreach. For every example of Warren Court "maximalism" which he rightly condemns, he throws in a right-wing example, Justices Scalia and Thomas being particular targets.

[Download to continue reading...](#)

One Case at a Time: Judicial Minimalism on the Supreme Court
Landmark Supreme Court Cases: The Most Influential Decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States
Supreme Conflict: The Inside Story of the Struggle for Control of the United States Supreme Court
The Judicial Process: Law, Courts, and Judicial Politics
Judicial Process and Judicial Policymaking
May It Please the Court: The Most Significant Oral Arguments Made Before the Supreme Court Since 1955
The First Amendment: Transcripts of the Oral Arguments Made Before the Supreme Court in Sixteen Key First Amendment Cases (May It Please the Court)
On Democracy's Doorstep: The Inside Story of How the Supreme Court Brought "One Person, One Vote" to the United States
Patent Case Management Judicial Guide 3rd edition (2016)
Volume II: Trial Case Management, Design Patents, Plant Patents, ANDA/Biosimilars, Federal Claims, and Patent Primer (Volume 2)
Patent Case Management Judicial Guide (3rd edition 2016): Volume I: Pretrial Case Management (Volume 1)
Gas & Electric Utilities: Contemporary Supreme Court Decisions (LandMark Case Law)
The Supreme Court Decision *United States v. Windsor* - DOMA Case - Decided June 26, 2013
The

Case Against the Supreme Court Antitrust: Historic Supreme Court Decisions (LandMark Case Law)
California Rules of Court - State, 2015 ed. (Vol. I, California Court Rules) (California Rules of Court.
State and Federal) Cooking for One: 365 Recipes For One, Quick and Easy Recipes (Healthy
Cooking for One, Easy Cooking for One, One Pot, One Pan) Ode to a Master: From Championship
to Supreme Court: One Man's Remarkable Odyssey Undiscovered Minimalism: Gelims from
Northern Iran Prepping and Self Sufficiency With A Minimalism Life Guide: Prepping for Beginners
and Survival Guides The Burger Court and the Rise of the Judicial Right

[Dmca](#)